Jacob Mickel / Michael

#150 | Generation 7 | Grade B | Father of Eliza Jane Mikel / Michael Lenderman

Relationship-level Grade B admission

Jacob Mickel / Michael is admitted at Grade B as the biological father of Eliza Jane Mikel / Michael Lenderman #75. The October 1827 Wilkes court-record abstract identifies Salvey Mickel as Jacob Mickel's widow. Its distinctive widow name, surname variants, county and chronology correlate with the already admitted Sarah/Sally Yates Michael #151.

Eliza's approximately 1822 birth, premarital Mikel surname in her 13 May 1841 Wilkes bond, the accepted 1836 Sally Michel payment in John Yates's estate and Sarah's 9 May 1866 will naming daughter Eliza Jane Lenderman form the cumulative indirect chain. The 1827 widow record is affirmative evidence of the particular local couple; paternity is correlated, not explicitly stated in that abstract.

The published abstract is Will Books 3 and 4, Wilkes County, North Carolina, 1811–1848, printed p. 20 (scan p. 21): WB4:108, October 1827, widow allowance; WB4:117, August 1828, estate sale. The supplied research visually inspected the published page; this incorporation independently checked its accessible text. The underlying court manuscript remains uninspected.

The reported Jacob Michael–Salvy Yeats marriage of 10 July 1816 is a retrieval lead, not a verified ceremony date in this edition. The exact birth date, precise death day, parents and military identity are unresolved. Death is established by October 1827; an 1828 estate-file label concerns later estate business. No Henry, New York Jacob/Charity or Ohio Jacob/Sarah family is attached.

The earlier Grade C research entry is superseded by this relationship-level Grade B assessment. Sarah/Sally was already admitted and is not counted again. No additional Patriot or War of 1812 service credit is assigned.

Position control: 2 × 75 = 150. Fifth-great-grandfather. Sarah/Sally #151 was already admitted.


Jacob: documentary correlation

Published court abstract and existing original-supported maternal chain

“Allowance to Salvey Mickel, widow of Jacob Mickel.”

Wilkes Will Book 4:108, October 1827; published in Will Books 3 and 4, Wilkes County, North Carolina, 1811–1848, printed p. 20 / scan p. 21. The same page abstracts an August 1828 estate sale, WB4:117. This is a transcription of the published abstract, not an image of the court manuscript.

The court-derived widow name supplies the new couple evidence. Sarah’s 1866 will below names Eliza Jane Lenderman; the existing 1841 bond names Eliza Mikel (p. 714), and the accepted 1836 Yates payment is retained at pp. 822–823. These records are correlated; none is relabeled an explicit Jacob-to-Eliza clause.



May the 9th 1866

State of North Carolina Cherokee County } To All Whom It May Concern Know ye that I do herby of my own free will give to my Daughter Eliza Jane Lenderman for her trouble in having and Care for Me during My Sickness My Cow and all My house and Kitchen furniture. and as for My Land. I want it sold and the Money divided among all My Children Equally. And I do hereby certify that I am in My right Mind and this is My Last will and testament so help Me God in witness whereof I have hereunto set My hand and seal the day and date above written

Test
Spencer Crain
Rubin Henby

her
Sarah + Mickel
mark

State of North Carolina }
Cherokee County } Ss.

I Quincy Weeks Clerk of Cherokee County Court do hereby certify that the above is a true copy of a Will of Sarah Michael. filed in my office and also of the probate thereof.

In witness whereof I have hereunto subscribed my Name as ex. Clerk of said County Court.

This the 23 day of Sept. 1868.

Quincy Weeks.
Ex. Clerk

Existing master p. 720: Cherokee County, NC, Sarah Michael will, 9 May 1866; court-certified copy, 23 September 1868. Misfiled Chattes/Chattis Sarah packet, image 15/35. FamilySearch 33SQ-GRNB-9CQJ. Source and entire prior exhibit remain preserved.

Nathan Kirkland

#308 | Generation 8 | Grade B | Father of James Kirkland Sr.

Relationship-level Grade B admission

Nathan Kirkland is admitted at Grade B as the biological father of James Kirkland Sr. #154. Eliza Ann Linn's 22 July 1909 appeal identifies her father James, his father James and his father Nathan. William H. Linn's 23 August 1909 affidavit reports childhood acquaintance with Nathan and names sons James, Nathan, Jess and Jack. The related Shaw testimony fits the already admitted descendant structure.

Eliza's 1907 two-James statement reaches her grandfather, James Sr.; it does not supply an additional elder James above #154. The 1909 appeal explicitly adds Nathan. A father Nathan and a brother Nathan can coexist. Identity with the 1810 Rutherford census householder is unestablished and no birth date is assigned from that census.

The original-image reproductions of Eliza's appeal and Linn's two-page affidavit were inspected during incorporation. These late statements belong to a coordinated benefit appeal and share informants: Linn and Eliza witnessed Criss Shaw's earlier affidavit. They are not counted as wholly independent traditions. The coherent generation sequence and named family support qualified B, rather than A or B+.

The acceptance is confined to Nathan's paternity of James. Tribal identity, the postcard likeness, birthplace, dates, wife, parents and military service are not established. An application's rejection of eligibility is separate from assessment of its ordinary kinship testimony. The Revolutionary Patriot count remains ten.


Source: NARA RG75, Eastern Cherokee Applications, M1104 roll 7, Hattie D. Lane file 586, associated papers: Internet Archive easterncherokeea007unit, leaves 1064–1065 (Linn) and 1067 (Eliza). Full sheets and jurats are retained in this supplement.

Position control: 2 × 154 = 308. Sixth-great-grandfather. No wife, parents, ethnicity or military service added.

Eliza Linn: paternal sequence

NARA RG75 | M1104 roll 7 | Hattie D. Lane file 586, associated papers

22 July 1909 appeal: father James, his father James, his father Nathan. Late family testimony; ethnic assertions are not part of the admitted relationship.




Full source sheet reproduced without alteration. Internet Archive easterncherokeea007unit, leaf 1067.

William H. Linn: remembered family

NARA RG75 | M1104 roll 7 | Hattie D. Lane file 586, associated papers

23 August 1909 affidavit, first sheet: claimed childhood recollection of Nathan and named sons. Read with the continuation and jurat on p. 1656.



State of Tenn
Monroe Co
Aug 3 1909

42545
affidavit


W. H. Linn being duly sworn say his address is Tellico Plains Tenn that he is 79 years old (born ac 12 1830) as to my acquaintance with Jack Kirkland will say I have known him all his life and his children which are as follow Jessie martha Ida Sarah Rachel Isabella Jack Kirkland and Ballplay Creek Monroe County Tenn in 1851 he now lives in Monroe County Tenn he is of the cherokee Indian race of people I also knew Jack Kirkland Father Jessie Kirkland he lived at Ballplay Tenn in 1851 he told me he was ¼ Cherokee Indian blood he was born in North Carlina I have seen Nathan Kirkland often I was a little boy he was a full Cherokee Indian he talked all together that language could hardly speak eney thing in ghish that I could understand I have seen the picture that Andy Kirkland had of Nathan Kirkland it was just like him I have seen him visting his people he had a son called James Kirkland he had a boy called Nathan and one called Jess and Jack I am well acquainted with the Kirkland race that is the Nathan Kirkland decend Will

Full source sheet reproduced without alteration. Internet Archive easterncherokeea007unit, leaf 1064.

William H. Linn: continuation and jurat

NARA RG75 | M1104 roll 7 | Hattie D. Lane file 586, associated papers

Affidavit continuation, mark, witnesses and sworn date. The coordinated appeal and shared informants limit independence; no portrait or tribal identification is admitted.



here me man Sam of the nathan kirkland
decend James Kirkland & J Calvin Kirkland
andy Kirkland Eliz A. A. Kirkland there was
2 or 3 Jane Kirkland & I Keno Idahn Kirkland
he told me he was enrolled for his mony
from the Eastern cherokee Indian roll
he lived in Gray han. Co. N.C. there
people are all of the nathan Kirkland
race of peple I have been with them & heard
them talk in the indian Longyel hall
been at there meting Where the indian
Was meet in Canel the kirkland always
had seat or were at theis race there in
the canels

Witness
G.W. Sipsas
J W pressnood

W. H. Linn
his
mark

Suprubid and sacem to he bare me
this day aug 23 1909

Affidavit of
Mark Linn
for
Kirkland

COURT OF CLAIMS
EASTERN CHEROKEES.
Rec. SEP 8 1909
No.

FILED
AUG 31 1909
COURT OF CLAIMS.

Full source sheet reproduced without alteration. Internet Archive easterncherokeea007unit, leaf 1065.

Combined findings and corrections

16 November 2026 | New evidence distinguished from previously incorporated findings

Duplicate control and count

John Duxford #122388 / #122644 was already incorporated at 231/273 and is not added again. Jacob #150 and Nathan #308 established the 233/275 checkpoint. Ann #149 now adds one person and one position: 234 unique ancestors / 276 ancestral positions. Prior admissions and ten Revolutionary Patriots remain unchanged.

Jacob: source and namesake control

The exact 1816 marriage is a retrieval lead. The 1827 widow allowance and 1828 estate business are compatible; neither proves an exact death day. The later “Jacob junor” Bible and Ohio pension family are not merged. The Ashe August 1814 roster names Jacob Mikel, but its identity with #150 remains unresolved. No Henry parentage or service credit is added.

Nathan and Susanna: prior interpretation

Eliza’s 1907 two-James sequence did not name a further father James above #154. Her 1909 appeal expressly adds Nathan. The report’s criticism of the earlier Susie/Jacob Boston reading is retained as a paleographic disagreement; Susanna’s settled B+ surname does not depend on it. The later-manufactured postcard could reproduce an older image; no portrait identification is accepted.

Christopher Boston: service and family

The recovered W12323 transcript gives 1781–1782 service, not the earlier 1777–1780/Valley Forge narrative. NARA M804 locator: roll 292. The literal 1825 “no children” statement and the administrative household context are distinguished. Neither establishes Susanna’s paternity. Rebecca Pitman’s later marriage alone neither proves maternity nor categorically disproves a biological relationship. No Boston parent or new Patriot is admitted.

References: supplied Final Findings and Admission Order, pp. 1–157; complete research text and source inventory in the attached evidence ZIP. The underlying chapters distinguish inspected images, published abstracts, indexes and retrieval leads.

Combined findings and corrections

16 November 2026 | New evidence distinguished from previously incorporated findings

Bowman and Fortune/Eubank

The Kennon transaction provides passage for England; it does not prove completed travel or death there. Its inspected form is a later transcript. The displayed 1774 Eubank deed is Albemarle, not Amherst; the 1789 Albemarle testator and 1820s Amherst John are distinct. East Greenwich tenure wording does not prove an English birthplace. The full legal analysis and remand qualifications remain in the attached research.

Bacon, Tucker and Fore

The 1641 Mighill Bacon entry records discharge after satisfaction, without stating an offense or family relationship. The Ball papers concern an unnamed child and do not identify the later Carolina Michael. Tucker’s 16 August 1811 purchase and south-side land remain distinct from the 1832/1841 apprenticeship lead. Fore’s recalled Chitwood conveyance concerns rights in enslaved people, not an identified land tract; the W&M will-copy item remains uninspected.

English source corrections

William Holme’s own will is dated 30 March 1495 and probate 11 April 1495. The earlier 24 June paragraph belongs to a preceding entry. The corrected source study is retained; no Holme ancestor is admitted. Richard Wythe’s marriage-payable portions must not be restated as an unsupported age-21 condition. These are evidence corrections, with no new ancestral positions.

Archive and current precedence

The supplied ZIP, its provenance inventory and the new research chapters are retained as attachments. The already embedded English Crown dossier is not appended again as 136 duplicate pages. Historical report counts and exploratory rulings remain dated research history; this current register and the new relationship proofs govern. Original images and prior evidence order are preserved.

References: supplied Final Findings and Admission Order, pp. 1–157; complete research text and source inventory in the attached evidence ZIP. The underlying chapters distinguish inspected images, published abstracts, indexes and retrieval leads.