Bacoun Ironclad | relationship findings

Current outcome

210 unique ancestors; 233 ancestral positions; Generations 0-20; 10 Revolutionary Patriots. No new direct ancestor is added. Existing admissions and Ahnentafel positions are preserved.

John Bacown: #978944 / #980992 | Generation 19

Grade A relationships in the supplied will translation: John Bacown is father of William and Anne and husband of Ann. The clause following "[William] my son" says "the same William," supporting the son's name internally. The brackets remain in the full transcript. Cross-record identification remains B+; combined profile grade A/B.

What changes

The previous summary treated the bracketed first occurrence of [William] as the only identification of the son. The following sentence in the same supplied translation names "the same William." Read together, the clauses support a Grade A stated father-son relationship within that translation. Identifying the son with the later Helmingham testator remains a correlated conclusion. John's combined A/B profile therefore remains appropriate.

William Bacon: #489472 / #490496 | Generation 18

The supplied 1492 translation explicitly names sons Thomas and Robert and wife Isabel. Those stated relationships remain A; William and Thomas retain combined A/B profiles. The expanded property comparison strengthens the research argument but does not itself supply a newly inspected manuscript reading.

Ann and Isabel

Ann #978945 / #980993 and Isabel #489473 / #490497 remain A/B profiles: A for the explicitly stated marriages and B for correlated maternity. Their parents and maiden surnames are not established by this report. Anne, John's daughter, is distinct from wife Ann; Robert, William's son, is a collateral relative. Neither adds a direct ancestor.

Generation 20: #1957888 / #1961984

The report expressly preserves pre-1396 John Bacoun at B. It supplies no new explicit father-son statement for this generation. The Ashbocking Robert Bacoun witness is a neighborhood research lead, not a newly admitted parent.

Source control

Review of Operation Bacoun Ironclad, supplied 12 November 2026, especially pp. 13, 20-24; full English will translations already preserved at master pp. 1499-1501. These findings do not represent a fresh inspection of the two ancestral Latin wills.

Bacoun Ironclad | records and property

William's will: keep the corrected citation

Norfolk Record Office, NCC will register Typpes 58, register 19, 1492, MF 31. The official catalogue was checked again for this update. The report supplies FamilySearch film 94868 / DGS 8422159, item 2 as the retrieval lead; its exact image requires confirmation. Aubry/Wolman 120 remains superseded.

John's will: correct the retrieval guidance

Registered will: Suffolk Archives IC/AA2/1/160. The BYU Suffolk guide identifies film 96906 as the initial registered-copy-wills film. The earlier 96905 / DGS 8040104 locator is not retained as a verified folio locator. Use FamilySearch catalog 338254, registered wills volume 1, folio 160; the exact digital image remains to be checked. This will supplies no father for its testator. Generation 20 remains Grade B.

Two independently checked catalogue discoveries

NRO NCC Betyns 160: John Martin (Martyn), senior, of Helmyngham St Mary, 1453, MF 28; catalogue says "No proof entered." NRO NCC Betyns 54: William Martin (Martyn), of Helmyngham St Mary, 1459, MF 28. These are real will targets. Their identity with particular charter witnesses and any biological connection to Ann or Isabel remain to be tested in the wills.

Thomas and Joan: reported corrected locators

The report identifies Thomas Bacon's will as IC/AA2/12/96 and widow Joan's as IC/AA2/13/291, replacing conflicting older references. It reports Thomas's will dated 31 July 1534 and probate 28 February 1534/35. These remain report-derived until the calendar and registered text are compared; conflicting dates are not silently deleted.

Expanded property comparison

Established comparison: Julkenys / Julkyngs and Skoldynges / Stoldyngs. Additional proposed comparison: Jotyspyghtell / Jolyspighttyll. The report further proposes Awfys = Mosys and Blomys + Ryste = Rystheblemys. These last readings and the claimed transfer from Robert's estate require the underlying manuscript or conveyance; similar names alone do not document a sale, reversion or death without issue.

Tower bequest and chronology

The report cites Clive Paine, PSIAH 43:4 (2016), pp. 658-659, for William's 30-shilling tower bequest dated 1491. Preserve this useful secondary corroboration lead. It does not by itself fix 20 January 1491/92 or establish the precise Latin word behind the translated "[?cleaning]." John's July/August execution-date conflict remains open.

Original-record context

The report reproduces CP 40/883, m. 772f (1483), identifying William Bakon of Helmyngham as a husbandman in a debt proceeding. This adds occupational/legal context, not military service or another father-son statement.

Bacoun Ironclad | next archival targets

Maternal investigations: no speculative additions

Ann: IC/AA2/1/86 (William Marten of Ashbocking), NCC Betyns 160 (John Martin senior, 1453), and NCC Betyns 54 (William Martin, 1459). Isabel: IC/AA2/7/101 (Geoffrey Style), IC/AA2/9/80 (Alice Style), IC/AA2/3/14 (John Martin), and TNA PROB 11/4/87 (James Joce of Helmingham). None is a proved biological parent on the evidence reviewed.

Style citation correction reported

The report corrects IC/AA2/1/101 to IC/AA2/7/101 for Geoffrey Style of Ashbocking. It identifies IC/AA2/9/80 for Alice Style, widow. Her identification as Geoffrey's widow is a hypothesis until the text is read; the word "widow" alone does not name her husband.

Additional associate records

IC/AA2/3/115 (Thomas Martin of Pettaugh); IC/AA2/4/20 (John Whith senior); IC/AA2/4/228 (Robert Bett); HD 1538/115/1/9 (16 January 1411, reported Robert Bacoun witness at Ashbocking). Keep legal associates and possible relatives distinct from admitted ancestors.

Generation 20 corroboration targets

TNA SC 12/12/47, Helmingham terrier, 1441-1442; British Library Add. Ch. 10044, 10065 and 10080. Seek inheritance, court admission, or another correlated sequence identifying the earlier John as father of the 1451 testator. No new generation is admitted in this update.

Orders and source availability

The supplied report says Suffolk Archives copies of IC/AA2/1/160 and IC/AA2/1/86 have already been requested. No duplicate order has been placed. The ancestral Latin will images remain acquisition targets; the report distinguishes translations, catalogue entries and inspected material.

Keep the Hessett family separate

Robert Bakon, son of William of Helmingham, is not automatically Robert Bacon of Hessett, whose will is TNA PROB 11/32/285. The report also cites PROB 11/26/168, 11/31/565, 11/36/280 and 11/51/394 for the separate Hessett/Drinkstone family. No Cockfield, Cage, Martyn, Joce, Style or Bette parent is added.

Review boundary

Several report interpretations go beyond its inspected documents: exact Latin emendations, presumed parcel mergers, executor-age deductions and inferred family relationships. They are retained as research propositions where useful, rather than presented as newly read manuscript facts. The report's stated conclusion remains zero new direct ancestors.